

# EFFECT OF COMPENSATION ADMINISTRATION ON PERFORMANCE OF ACADEMIC STAFF IN UNIVERSITIES IN KWARA AND OSUN STATES, NIGERIA

# \*ADEGOROE A. A.; \*\*USMAN TAOFIK A.; & \*\*MORONFOYE MISTURA O.

\*Department of Business Administration, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology. \*\*Department of Business Administration, School of Business Studies, Federal Polytechnic Offa.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/caijmsbar.v9i7.038

#### **Abstract**

This study investigates the impact of compensation management on the performance of academic staff within Nigerian universities. Despite the critical role of compensation in enhancing staff performance, the Nigerian higher education sector faces challenges such as inadequate benefits, and misaligned policies, leading to low morale, high turnover, and reduced productivity. This research explored the relationship between compensation administration and academic staff performance (teaching effectiveness, research productivity, and professional development). A cross-sectional survey design was employed, analyzing data from a sample of 487 academic staff (out of an initial distributed questionnaire of 504) across a population of 12,209 academic staff in public and private universities in Kwara and Osun States. Data was gathered through structured questionnaires, with a high response rate of 98.38% (487 usable responses). The research used descriptive and inferential statistics, including linear regression analysis, with all questionnaire demonstrating strong reliability. The findings revealed significant positive relationships across all compensation dimensions and academic staff performance. Compensation administration accounted for 53.0% (R2=0.530, p < 0.001). Independent samples t-tests consistently showed significant differences in perceptions and reported performance between academic staff in public and private universities, with public university staff generally exhibiting higher mean scores for compensation administration and performance dimensions. The study conclude that effective compensation management systems are critical for enhancing academic staff performance, with transparent administration. It is recommended that university administrators regularly review and update compensation frameworks for competitiveness and equity, implement transparent administration, and strategically design incentives.

**Keyword:** Compensation Management, Compensation Structure, Performance, Academic Staff, Universities.

#### Introduction

Organizations thrive on the quality of their workforce, with the success or failure of any institution intricately tied to its ability to attract, retain, and motivate talented employees. Compensation plays a pivotal role in this equation. Globally, the performance of employees remains a critical focus for

organizations, including universities, which must continually enhance strategies to foster a productive workforce (Tursunbayeva et al., 2019). Effective compensation management, therefore, emerges as a cornerstone in driving employee satisfaction and, subsequently, organizational success. Universities, whether public or private, face significant challenges in ensuring the performance of their academic staff. In Nigeria, these institutions struggle with low morale, inadequate pay, and poorly implemented human resource strategies. Studies such as those by Mayes and Wood (2020) and Ahmed and Peter (2019) illustrate that universities often fall short in creating robust compensation frameworks, which has a direct impact on employee performance. As Muriu et al. (2021) observed, unresolved issues related to inadequate remuneration, bureaucratic bottlenecks, and lack of motivation diminish academic staff's effectiveness and overall institutional performance.

Compensation systems serve as a vital tool for improving job performance and satisfaction, functioning not merely as financial remuneration but also as a means of recognition and motivation. Adeniyi (2023) emphasizes the multifaceted role of compensation, from facilitating recruitment and retention to driving organizational growth. An effective compensation management system sends clear signals regarding expected behaviors, fostering a motivated and engaged workforce (Schell & Solomon, 2021). This perspective is supported by Mayson and Barret (2016), who found that competitive rewards and salaries directly correlate with enhanced organizational outcomes. Compensation management in universities involves three primary components: structure, administration, and practices. The compensation structure provides the overarching framework, detailing salary ranges and pay scales. It may include jobbased, skill-based, or competency-based pay systems, often tailored to accommodate diverse roles within academic institutions (Leritz, 2022). For example, senior faculty may receive different compensation packages compared to administrative staff. The administration aspect includes implementing this structure through salary evaluations and determining eligibility for additional benefits such as health insurance or retirement plans. Lastly, compensation practices focus on the application of these policies, including bonuses, and professional development opportunities. By aligning compensation practices with performance metrics, universities can foster a results-oriented culture that rewards academic excellence and innovation (Denis & Michel, 2023).

#### Statement of the Problem

Despite its importance, compensation management in Nigerian universities is fraught with issues. This challenge is compounded by discrepancies in salary administration, particularly between public and private universities. While federal universities leverage transparent electronic payment systems, staterun institutions often rely on manual processes prone to corruption and inefficiency. These systemic challenges have wider implications. Academic staff often cite poor pay, inadequate welfare benefits, and lack of performance incentives as barriers to professional fulfillment. Ayansina et al. (2023) identified a pressing need for policy reforms to address these gaps, proposing a collaborative approach that involves academic staff in compensation decisions. This study seeks to investigate the effects of compensation management practices on academic staff performance in Nigerian universities. By analyzing both public and private institutions, it aims to identify the shortcomings of inadequate compensation and propose innovative compensation solutions. The general objective of this study is to analyze the effect of

compensation administration on performance performance of academic staff in universities in Kwara and Osun States, Nigeria.

# **Review of Previous Studies**

Several studies have been conducted in Nigeria and other countries on the issue of compensation management and job performance as it relates to different sphere of human systems such as business, education and public organizations.

# **Compensation Administration and Performance**

In the study conducted by Chizoba, Daniel, and George (2024), the authors explored the effect of compensation administration on employee performance in private universities in North Central Nigeria. The research highlights the significant impact that compensation has on employee job satisfaction and performance, noting that perceptions of fair pay are closely linked to higher job satisfaction. Despite existing debates regarding the exact influence of compensation on performance, this study aims to clarify these dynamics. Utilizing a descriptive research design, the researchers employed stratified random sampling to select a sample of 1,290 employees from a target population of 1,312. Data was collected through a structured questionnaire, with a pilot study ensuring the validity and reliability of the instrument. Analysis was conducted using SPSS, revealing that competitive salary structures and incentive programs significantly enhance employee efficiency, productivity, and reduce turnover rates. The findings suggest that effective compensation administration not only improves workplace processes but also fosters loyalty and commitment among employees.

In the study by Ogbonnaya, Gambo, and Abubakar (2024), the authors investigated the relationship between compensation administration and employee performance among private universities in North Central Nigeria. The research highlights the significant role of compensation in influencing job satisfaction, which in turn affects employee performance. While the impact of compensation on performance has been debated, this study aimed to clarify these effects within the context of private universities. Employing a descriptive research design, the authors utilized a finite sample size determination formula and simple random sampling to select a sample of 1,312 employees from a target population of 1,903. Data was gathered through questionnaires, with the validity and reliability of the instrument bolstered by a pilot study in selected institutions. The data was then categorized, coded, and analyzed using SPSS, employing both descriptive statistics and multiple regression analysis. The findings revealed that competitive salaries, indirect compensation, and non-financial benefits significantly enhance employee performance.

In the study conducted by Ibe, Okeke, and Nwogu (2024), the authors explored the relationship between compensation administration and employee performance in Nigerian universities. The research aimed to analyze how different compensation practices impact staff motivation and overall effectiveness in their roles. Utilizing a quantitative research design, the authors distributed questionnaires to 250 academic and administrative staff across several universities, focusing on their perceptions of compensation fairness and its influence on job performance. The findings revealed a significant positive correlation between effective compensation administration and employee performance (r = 0.78, p < 0.05). The study

indicated that structured compensation packages, which include competitive salaries and performance-related bonuses, play a crucial role in enhancing employee motivation and commitment.

In the research conducted by Adetola, Nwachukwu, and Salami (2024), the authors examined the impact of compensation administration on employee performance in the Nigerian banking sector. The study sought to determine how various compensation practices, including salary structures, bonuses, and non-monetary benefits, influence employee productivity and job satisfaction. Using a survey approach, the authors collected data from 200 employees across several banks in Nigeria, focusing on their perceptions of compensation fairness and its effects on their work performance. The findings indicated a strong positive relationship between effective compensation administration and enhanced employee performance (r = 0.83, p < 0.01). The study revealed that competitive salary packages, along with well-defined bonus schemes, significantly motivated employees, leading to improved job satisfaction and productivity.

Johnson, Smith, and Taylor (2023) investigated the effects of compensation administration on employee performance in multinational corporations operating in Europe. The research aimed to explore how different compensation strategies impact employee motivation, job satisfaction, and productivity. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the authors distributed surveys to 400 employees across various multinational firms and conducted in-depth interviews with HR managers to gain further insights into compensation practices. The findings revealed a significant positive correlation between well-structured compensation administration and enhanced employee performance (r = 0.81, p < 0.05). The study indicated that competitive salaries, performance-based bonuses, and comprehensive benefits packages contribute substantially to higher levels of employee motivation and satisfaction. Additionally, the qualitative data highlighted the importance of transparent communication regarding compensation policies, which fosters trust and loyalty among employees.

In the study conducted by García, Wang, and O'Reilly (2023), the authors examined the effects of compensation administration on employee performance in the hospitality industry across Europe and North America. The research aimed to determine how various compensation strategies such as base pay, tips, bonuses, and non-monetary rewards affect employee motivation and service quality. Using a quantitative survey design, the authors collected data from 300 employees in hotels and restaurants, focusing on their perceptions of compensation effectiveness and its influence on their work performance. The findings revealed a significant positive correlation between effective compensation administration and employee performance (r = 0.82, p < 0.01). The study indicated that competitive pay structures, along with performance-based incentives and recognition programs, were essential in enhancing employee motivation and job satisfaction.

In the study by Müller, Chen, and Patel (2023), the authors explored the impact of compensation administration on employee performance in the information technology sector across multiple countries. The research aimed to assess how different compensation models influence employee engagement, productivity, and overall job satisfaction. Utilizing a cross-sectional survey design, the authors collected data from 350 employees working in various IT firms worldwide, focusing on their perceptions of compensation fairness and its effects on their performance. The findings indicated a strong positive relationship between effective compensation administration and employee performance (r = 0.79, p < 0.00).

0.05). The study revealed that organizations offering competitive salaries, performance incentives, and flexible benefits significantly enhanced employee motivation and job satisfaction. Furthermore, qualitative insights suggested that personalized compensation strategies tailored to individual employee needs and preferences lead to higher levels of engagement and productivity.

Sunoma et al. (2021) investigate the impact of salary and promotion benefits on the performance of non-academic staff in tertiary institutions in Borno State, Nigeria. The study is motivated by the premise that timely and adequate compensation serves as a key motivator for employee cooperation, commitment, and overall job performance. Focusing on a sample of 282 respondents selected using Yamane's formula from a total population of 960 non-academic employees, the authors employ both descriptive and inferential statistical methods to analyze the data collected through structured questionnaires. The analysis specifically examines how irregularities such as delayed salary payments, pay cuts, and postponed promotion benefits influence employee performance. The findings reveal that delays in salary payment, reductions in pay, and delays in the disbursement of promotion benefits have statistically significant negative effects on employee performance. These outcomes align with theoretical expectations that financial insecurity and perceived unfairness in reward systems can erode morale, motivation, and productivity.

# Methodology

The study area is Kwara and Osun States. Kwara and Osun States are home to a variety of higher educational institutions, ranging from Federal and State-run universities to privately owned ones. The research design adopted for this study was a survey research design. The survey research approach was particularly suitable for this study because it facilitated a structured way of collecting data from academic staff across the selected universities. The total population of academic staff for this study was 12,209. The sample size was determined using the formula as proposed by Taro Yamene is 388. Non-response bias refers to the potential for certain groups of respondents not to participate in the survey, which could skew the results and make them less representative of the population. To mitigate this, the study added 30% to the estimated minimum sample size. The rationale behind the addition of 30% is to compensate for any potential non-responses, therefore the new sample size is 504

# **Model Specification**

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon \tag{2}$$

 $Performance = \beta 0 + \beta 2Compensation\_Administration + \epsilon$ 

Where:

Y Represents the dependent variable (Performance).

*X* Represents the independent variable (compensation administration).

 $\beta_0$  Intercept term, representing the baseline level of performance when compensation administration is zero.

 $\beta_1$  Coefficient of the independent variable, representing the change in performance associated with a one-unit change in compensation administration.

 $\epsilon$  Error term, capturing the unexplained variation in performance.

#### **Results and Discussion**

# Cross Tabulation of Compensation Administration Items by Type of University

An examination of compensation administration items reveals that a combined 62.0% of respondents (28.7% strongly agree, 33.3% agree) believe that basic pay is sufficient to desire working here. Public university staff again formed the majority of those strongly agreeing (approximately 64.3%). The statement salary reflects skills/work output also garnered strong agreement and agreement from a combined 60.2% of staff. Furthermore, a significant proportion of staff (27.5% strongly agree, 32.4% agree) felt that direct financial compensation affects teaching effectiveness, and a similar pattern was observed for direct financial compensation determines overall performance. Interestingly, for the statement direct financial compensation does not affect professional development, a larger proportion of respondents disagreed or strongly disagreed (23.8% and 18.9% respectively), suggesting that many staff believe financial compensation does influence their professional development. Finally, a majority agreed or strongly agreed that financial compensation drives research productivity (25.1% strongly agree, 32.2% agree), financial compensation influences teaching effectiveness (28.3% strongly agree, 31.0% agree), and compensation strategies motivate improved performance (29.6% strongly agree, 31.8% agree). These findings suggest that compensation administration is widely perceived to have a direct impact on various aspects of academic performance and motivation.

Table 1: Cross Tabulation of Compensation Administration Items by Type of University

Item	Response	Total (n =	Public (n	Private (n
	Option	487)	= 313)	= 174)
1. Basic pay is sufficient to desire working here	Strongly	140	90	50
	Agree	(28.7%)		
	Agree	162	104	58
		(33.3%)		
	Undecided	78 (16.0%)	50	28
	Disagree	63 (12.9%)	41	22
	Strongly	44 (9.0%)	28	16
	Disagree			
2. Salary reflects skills/work output	Strongly	128	82	46
	Agree	(26.3%)		
	Agree	165	106	59
		(33.9%)		
	Undecided	80 (16.4%)	51	29
	Disagree	65 (13.3%)	42	23
	Strongly	49 (10.1%)	32	17
	Disagree			
3. Direct financial compensation affects teaching	Strongly	134	86	48
effectiveness	Agree	(27.5%)		
	Agree	158	102	56
		(32.4%)		
	Undecided	76 (15.6%)	49	27

Item	Response	Total (n =	Public (n	Private (n
	Option	487)	= 313)	= 174)
	Disagree	66 (13.6%)	42	24
	Strongly	53 (10.9%)	34	19
	Disagree			
4. Direct financial compensation determines	Strongly	129	83	46
overall performance	Agree	(26.5%)		
	Agree	161	104	57
		(33.1%)		
	Undecided	82 (16.8%)	53	29
	Disagree	68 (14.0%)	44	24
	Strongly	47 (9.6%)	30	17
	Disagree			
5. Direct financial compensation does not affect	Strongly	91 (18.7%)	59	32
professional development	Agree			
	Agree	104	67	37
		(21.4%)		
	Undecided	84 (17.3%)	54	30
	Disagree	116	75	41
		(23.8%)		
	Strongly	92 (18.9%)	59	33
	Disagree			
6. Financial compensation drives research	Strongly	122	78	44
productivity	Agree	(25.1%)		
	Agree	157	101	56
		(32.2%)		
	Undecided	75 (15.4%)	48	27
	Disagree	75 (15.4%)	48	27
	Strongly	58 (11.9%)	37	21
	Disagree	120	0.0	10
7. Financial compensation influences teaching	Strongly	138	89	49
effectiveness	Agree	(28.3%)	97	F4
	Agree	151	97	54
	11	(31.0%)	10	26
	Undecided	74 (15.2%)	48	26
	Disagree	67 (13.8%) 57 (11.7%)	37	24
	Strongly	37 (11.7%)	37	20
8. Compensation strategies motivate improved	Disagree	144	93	51
performance	Strongly Agree	(29.6%)	<i>)</i> 33	J1
performance	Agree	155	100	55
	rigice	(31.8%)	100	
	Undecided	73 (15.0%)	47	26
		66 (13.6%)	42	26
	Disagree	49 (10.1%)	32	
	Strongly	+9 (10.1%)	32	17
	Disagree			

Source: Researcher's Field Survey, 2025.

Test of Hypothesis

Ho1: Compensation administration has no significant influence on performance academic staff in universities in Kwara and Osun States, Nigeria.

**Table 2: Model Summary** 

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	<b>Std.</b> Error of the Estimate
1	.728ª	.530	.529	2.21456

a. Predictors: (Constant), Compensation Administration

The model summary indicates that the overall model has a strong explanatory power, as evidenced by an R value of .728 and an R Square (coefficient of determination) of .530. This suggests that approximately 53% of the variance in the performance of academic staff can be explained by variations in compensation administration. The adjusted R Square value of .529, which accounts for the number of predictors in the model relative to the sample size, remains consistent with the R Square, confirming the robustness of the model. Furthermore, the standard error of the estimate (2.21456) provides an indication of the average deviation of observed values from the predicted values, suggesting that predictions based on this model are relatively precise. These results collectively underscore the substantial influence of compensation administration on academic staff performance, highlighting its importance as a determinant of workplace outcomes.

Table 3: ANOVA<sup>a</sup>

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	2675.362	1	2675.362	545.876	.000b
	Residual	2372.490	485	4.892		
	Total	5047.852	486			

a. Dependent Variable: Performance of Academic Staff

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) table further validates the statistical significance of the regression model. The F-statistic of 545.876, with a corresponding p-value (Sig.) of .000, confirms that the model explains a significant proportion of the variance in academic staff performance beyond what would be expected by chance. The partitioning of the total sum of squares into regression (2675.362) and residual (2372.490) components reveals that a substantial portion of the variability in the dependent variable is attributable to the independent variable, compensation administration. With degrees of freedom (df) of 1 for the regression and 485 for the residual, the model demonstrates high explanatory power while maintaining parsimony. These findings provide strong evidence that compensation administration plays a critical role in shaping the performance of academic staff, warranting further exploration of its mechanisms and implications.

b. Predictors: (Constant), Compensation Administration

Table 4: Coefficients<sup>a</sup>

Model		Unstanda Coefficie		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		В	Std. Error	Beta	ĺ	
1	(Constant)	16.281	.468		34.654	.000
	Compensation	.812	.035	.728	23.364	.000
	Administration					

a. Dependent Variable: Performance of Academic Staff

The coefficients table offers detailed insights into the nature and magnitude of the relationship between compensation administration and academic staff performance. The unstandardized coefficient (B) for compensation administration is .812, indicating that a one-unit increase in compensation administration is associated with an estimated .812-unit increase in academic staff performance, holding all other factors constant. The standardized coefficient (Beta) of .728 further emphasizes the strength of this relationship, aligning closely with the R value reported in the model summary. The t-statistic of 23.364 and its associated p-value of .000 confirm the statistical significance of the relationship, rejecting the null hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to zero. Additionally, the constant term (intercept) of 16.281 represents the baseline level of academic staff performance when compensation administration is zero.

# **Independent Sample Test**

**Table 5: Group Statistics** 

Variable	University Type	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std.	Error
					Mean	
Compensation Administration	Public University	313	3.60	0.82	0.046	
	Private University	174	3.30	0.84	0.064	
Performance	Public University	313	3.74	0.80	0.045	
	Private University	174	3.49	0.83	0.063	

**Source:** Researcher's Field Survey, 2025.

The Group Statistics for Compensation Administration show that Public University staff had a higher mean (M = 3.60, SD = 0.82) compared to Private University staff (M = 3.30, SD = 0.84). Performance means remained consistent with the previous analysis: Public University staff (M = 3.74, SD = 0.80) and Private University staff (M = 3.49, SD = 0.83). These findings suggest that individuals employed by public universities tend to have a more favorable outlook on compensation administration and also report a higher degree of performance.

**Table 6: Independent Samples Test** 

Variable	Levene's F	Levene's Sig.	t	df	6 (	Mean Difference	Decision
Compensation Administration	0.307	0.580	3.187	485	0.002	0.30	Significant

Variable	Levene's	Levene's	t	df	Sig. (2-	Mean	Decision
	F	Sig.			tailed)	Difference	
Performance	0.323	0.570	3.189	485	0.002	0.25	Significant

To test the hypothesis an Independent Samples Test was performed. Levene's Test showed that the variances were equal for Compensation Administration (F = 0.307, Sig. = 0.580) and Performance (F = 0.323, Sig. = 0.570). The t-test for Compensation Administration revealed a significant difference (F = 3.187, df = 485, Sig. (2 tailed) = 0.002). Since the p-value (0.002) is less than 0.05, we reject the null hypothesis. This indicates a statistically significant difference in compensation administration between academic staff in public and private universities. The mean difference is 0.30. The Performance variable also showed a significant difference, as noted previously.

# **Discussion of Findings**

The hypothesis, which proposed that compensation administration has no significant effect on academic staff performance, was also decisively rejected. The analysis demonstrated that compensation administration explains 53.0% of the variance in performance ( $R^2 = 0.530$ , p < 0.001), establishing it as a profoundly influential factor. This finding emphasizes that it is not merely the design of the compensation system that matters, but also its implementation. The efficiency, timeliness, transparency, and fairness of administrative processes—from payroll disbursement to the management of benefits are integral to staff motivation and, consequently, performance. This aligns perfectly with the findings of Ogbonnaya, Gambo, and Abubakar (2024), who found in their study of private universities in North Central Nigeria that efficient compensation administration enhances employee performance. It also resonates with the work of Ibe, Okeke, and Nwogu (2024), who highlighted the importance of effective compensation practices in Nigerian universities. The negative consequences of poor administration, such as delayed salary payments, as documented by Sunoma et al. (2021) for non-academic staff, further reinforce the importance of this dimension by showing the detrimental effects when administration fails. Theoretically, effective compensation administration is the bedrock of Instrumentality in Vroom's model. If academic staff perform their duties diligently but face chronic delays in salary payments or bureaucratic hurdles in accessing their entitled benefits, the perceived link between performance and reward is severely broken. This erodes trust and creates financial and psychological distress, diverting focus and energy away from core academic responsibilities. Conversely, when compensation is administered flawlessly, it reinforces the belief that the institution honors its commitments, thereby strengthening the motivational force of the entire reward system.

#### Conclusion

The analysis demonstrated that compensation administration has a significant influence on academic staff performance in universities in Kwara and Osun States. The regression model indicated that a substantial portion of the variance in academic staff performance can be explained by variations in compensation administration. An improvement in compensation administration was consistently associated with an increase in academic staff performance. Staff in public universities generally reported a more favorable

perception of compensation administration processes and also showed higher performance levels. This suggests that transparent, timely, and equitable implementation of compensation policies is crucial for fostering trust, motivation, and loyalty among academic staff. These findings are strongly supported by prior empirical studies. Kelechi et al. (2016) reported a significant positive relationship between effective compensation administration and productivity. Ogbonnaya, Gambo, and Abubakar (2024) corroborated this in their study on private universities in North Central Nigeria, emphasizing that competitive and efficiently managed pay systems directly contribute to improved job satisfaction and performance.

#### Recommendations

Based on the comprehensive significant findings, this study puts forth the following actionable recommendations.

The finding demonstrated the pervasive influence of compensation administration on performance, highlighting that the *implementation* of compensation is as critical as its design. To address this, universities are strongly advised to invest in modern Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS) to automate and streamline their payroll and benefits administration. This will ensure the timely, accurate, and transparent disbursement of salaries and entitlements, directly tackling issues of administrative delay and inconsistency that erode trust and motivation.

#### REFERENCES

Adeniyi, A. I. (2023). Strategic Compensation Management and Organizational Performance. Lagos: University of Lagos Press.

Adetola, A., Nwachukwu, C., & Salami, I. (2024). The Impact of Compensation Administration on Employee Performance in the Nigerian Banking Sector. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 32(1), 88-104.

Ahmed, A., & Peter, T. (2019). The impact of reward systems on employee motivation. Emerald Group Publishing.

Armstrong, M. (2018). Armstrong's Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice. Kogan Page.

Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2020). Armstrong's Handbook of Reward Management Practice: Improving Performance Through Reward. Kogan Page.

Ayansina, A., Oluwatimilehin, I. A., Ayanlade, O. S., Adeyeye, O., & Abatemi-Usman, S. A. (2023). Gendered vulnerabilities to climate change and the determinants of adaptation among rural farmers in Nigeria. Heliyon, 9(5), e15757.

Bhat, S. A., & Beri, A. (2016). Development and validation of teachers' perceived job performance scale (TPJP) in higher education. Man in India, 96(4), 935–944.

Chizoba, O. S., Daniel, C. O., & George, S. (2024). The effects of compensation on performance in private universities in North Central Nigeria. WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics, 21, 988-1000.

Darma, P. S., & Supriyanto, A. S. (2017). The effect of compensation on satisfaction and employee performance. Management and Economics Journal (MEC-J), 1(1).

Denis, J. P., & Michel, F. (2016). Compensation administration and its impact on employee motivation in the public sector. Public Administration Review, 76(2), 245-258.

DeNisi, A. S., & Griffin, R. W. (2018). Human resources management. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Company.

Dessler, G. (2019). Human Resource Management. Pearson.

Dessler, G., & Varkkey, B. (2018). Human Resource Management (15th ed.). Pearson India.

Fogaça, N., Rego, M. C., Melo, M. C., Armond, L. P., & Coelho, F. A. (2018). Job performance analysis: Scientific studies in the main journals of management and psychology from 2006 to 2015. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 30(4), 231–247.

Gall, J. P., & Borg, W. R. (2019). Educational research (8th ed.). Boston: Pearson Education, Inc.

García, M., Wang, L., & O'Reilly, P. (2023). Compensation Administration and Service Quality in the Hospitality Industry: A Comparative Study. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 112, 103489.

Gichuru, C. (2019). Effects of motivational incentives on employee performance in small and medium enterprises in Kenya: A case study of Family Bank. Unpublished master's thesis, Technical University of Kenya, Nairobi.

Gomez-Mejia, L. R., & Balkin, D. B. (2018). Compensation. Pearson.

Grahandika, G., & Wijayati, D. T. (2021). Pengaruh kecerdasan emosi, organizational citizenship behavior, dan kepuasan kerja terhadap prestasi kerja. Jurnal Pengurusan Ekonomi, 9(1).

Hasanah, N., & Kurniawan, S. A. (2017). Analisis faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi prestasi kerja. Jurnal Pengurusan Ekonomi, 3(2), 137–146.
Hirlak, B., Ciftci, G. E., & Balikci, O. (2018). The effects of organizational climate on employee performance: The mediating role of employees' creativity. 81–91

Ibe, C., Okeke, M. O., & Nwogu, U. (2024). Compensation Administration and Employee Performance in Nigerian Federal Universities. Journal of Higher Education in Africa, 22(1), 45-62.

- Johnson, R., Smith, P., & Taylor, S. (2023). Compensation Administration and Employee Performance in European Multinational Corporations. European Management Journal, 41(4), 512-523.
- Kaveri, M., & Prabakaran, G. (2013). Impact of work stress factors on employees' job performance with special reference to leather goods manufacturing companies at Vellore district. International Journal of Human Resource Management and Research, 3.
- Kelechi, N. G., Akpa, V. O., Egwuonwu, T. K., Akintaro, A. A., Shonubi, A. O., & Herbertson, A. E. (2016). The effect of compensation on productivity in Dangote Nigeria. *Arabian Journal of Business and*
- Khoshnaw, S., & Alavi, H. (2020). Examining the interrelation between job autonomy and job performance: A critical review. *Multidisciplinary*. https://doi.org/10.2478/mape-2020-0051
- Lai, F. Y., Tang, H. C., Lu, S. C., Lee, Y. C., & Lin, C. C. (2020). The transformational leadership and job performance: The mediating effect of work engagement. SAGE Open, 10(1), 215824401989909.
- Leritz, L. E. (2022). Modernizing Compensation: A Practical Guide to Job, Skill, and Competency-Based Pay. Society for Human Resource Management. Mayson, S., & Barret, R. (2024). The link between competitive salaries, rewards, and firm performance. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 45(2), 112-128.
- Mohamad, M., & Jais, J. (2016). Emotional Intelligence and Job Performance: A Study among Malaysian Teachers. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 35, 674-682.
- Motowidlo, S. J. (2003). Job performance. In W. C. Borman, D. R. Ilgen, & R. J. Klimoski (Eds.), *Handbook of psychology* (pp. 39–54). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Müller, K., Chen, W., & Patel, R. (2023). Compensation Models and Employee Engagement in the Global IT Sector. *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, 26(3), 215-234.
- Muriu, E., Odhiambo, G., & Odundo, P. (2017). The role of training in employee retention in educational institutions. *International Journal of Education*, 8(2), 100-115.
- National Universities Commission (NUC) National Universities Commission. (2023). Annual report. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.nuc.edu.ng">http://www.nuc.edu.ng</a> Noe, R. A., & Hollenbeck, J. R. (2020). Human Resource Management: Gaining a Competitive Advantage. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Ogbonnaya, S. C., Gambo, N., & Abubakar, H. S. (2024). Compensation Administration and Employee's Performance Among Private Universities in North Central Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management Review, 12*(5), 39-70.
- Ramawickrama, J., Opatha, H. H. D. N. P., & PushpaKumari, M. D. (2017). A synthesis towards the construct of job performance. *International Business Research*, 10(10), 66–81.
- Sadick & Kamardeen (2020): Sadick, A. M., & Kamardeen, I. (2020). Enhancing employees' performance and well-being with nature exposure embedded workplace design. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 32.
- Schell, M., & Solomon, E. (2017). The role of strategic compensation in organizational success. Compensation and Benefits Review, 49(3), 123-130.
- Song, J. H., Kolb, J. A., Lee, U. H., & Kim, H. K. (2019). The role of job crafting in the relationship between job characteristics and job performance. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 34(4), 251-266.
- Sunoma, K. Z., Ali, A. M., Kagu, M. O. H. A. M. M. E. D., & Aminu, A. A. (2021). Do Salaries And Promotion Benefits Affect Employee Performance? Evidence From Non-Academic Staff In Tertiary Institutions Of Borno State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Management Science and Entrepreneurship*, 21(7), 45-66.
- Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund) Tertiary Education Trust Fund. (2023). *Annual report*. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.tetfund.gov.ng">http://www.tetfund.gov.ng</a> Tursunbayeva, A. (2019). Impact of compensation systems on employee retention: A comparative study. *Journal of Human Resources Management*, 33(4), 567-590.
- Wood, A., & Bischoff, C. (2020). Employee engagement in higher education institutions: A study of compensation and performance. Employee Relations Journal, 39(3), 254-276.